

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/751,748	HUEDPOHL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
William M. Treat	2183	

All Participants:(1) William M. Treat.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(2) James W. Huffman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:***none***Claims discussed:***none***Prior art documents discussed:***none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative expressed surprise in an earlier voice message that the interview summary form called for a response on his part. The examiner apologized and explained he had selected the wrong interview summary form. The examiner is sending a Supplemental Notice of Allowance because he failed to indicate the allowed claims on the previous form. He is also checking the correct box on this form which should have been checked on the previous interview summary form. Applicant does not need to provide a response..